Help! I Lost My Case!

Gabby just walked out of the courthouse, and she's furious! That's why she's on her cell phone calling you. That's what friends are for, right? Gabby just lost her case in a trial. The judge found in favor of the other side! Can you believe it? There must be something she can do, but Gabby isn't sure. Can she take her case to the Court of Appeals? What about the Supreme Court? And if she can take her case "up," what will happen there? Do those courts work just like the trial court?

You don't know the answers, but you feel sorry for Gabby (and she's yelling in your ear), so you promise her you'll find out. You've barely hung up when you get a call from Bob. He's got a problem just like Gabby's, but he just heard Gabby lost her case! Does that mean he shouldn't bother taking his own case to court? If he does take his case to court, will the outcome of Gabby's case affect whether he can win?

Once you're off the phone, you brainstorm a quick list of things you'll need to find out. They're all great questions, but only some will help you tell Gabby and Bob what to expect. You need to cut down your list! Put an X next to the questions that will help you answer Gabby and Bob. Leave the rest blank.

1. Will the Court of Appeals hold a trial all over again?
2. How tall is the Supreme Court building?
3. Does the Court of Appeals have to accept every case?
4. Will there be a jury to decide the case at the Court of Appeals?
5. Are there any women justices on the Supreme Court?
6. Is there only one judge at the Court of Appeals?
7. Why do we have a Court of Appeals?
8. If Gabby doesn't like what happens at the Court of Appeals, does the Supreme Court have to take her case?
9. What kinds of cases does the Supreme Court take?
10. How many justices will decide the case at the Supreme Court?
11. Who is the Chief Justice of the Supreme Court?
12. If Gabby wins at the Supreme Court, will Bob automatically win his case?
13. Do people get to testify at the Supreme Court like they do in a trial court?
14. How many cases did the Supreme Court hear last year?
15. When did the Supreme Court hear its first case?
16. If Gabby loses at the Court of Appeals, will Bob automatically lose his case?
17. Do people get to testify at the Court of Appeals like they do in a trial court?
18. Do Supreme Court justices get elected?

Now that you've got your list pared down, read the true story of what happened when a middle school girl took her own case "up" the judicial system. As you read, look for the answers to the questions on your list and raise your hand each time you spot one.
School Strip Search!

Savana Redding must have been furious when she lost her court case in the trial court. It all started when someone told the school principal Savana was giving pills to other students. Even though Savana had never been in trouble before and denied doing anything wrong, the principal ordered the school nurse and a female school employee to search Savana. They took Savana into a room and told her to take off her outer clothing and shake out her underwear. They didn't find any pills.

Savana believed her rights had been violated. After all, the **Fourth Amendment** to the Constitution is supposed to protect people against unreasonable searches. So Savana took her case to court, arguing that the strip search violated her Fourth Amendment rights.

The trial court didn't see it that way. The judge decided the school had a right to conduct the search, based on two factors: 1) the school had a good reason to believe the search needed to be done, and 2) the search did not go too far, considering that drugs are very serious.

Savana's lawyer filed a written **brief** in the Court of Appeals for the Ninth Circuit, arguing the school did not have a good reason to conduct a strip search and that the search did go too far. Both lawyers went to court for an **oral argument** in front of a **panel** of three Court of Appeals judges. Savana couldn't tell the judges her story, but she could sit in the courtroom and listen. During the oral argument, her lawyer explained his reasoning to the judges. They peppered him with questions to be sure they understood his point of view.

In private, the Court of Appeals judges considered whether the trial court judge correctly analyzed the two factors. Two of the three judges agreed that she did. They issued a written **opinion** explaining their decision and giving the judge who disagreed a chance to explain his **dissent**. But it was two against one, so Savana lost again.

There was still hope. Savana's lawyer took a chance and asked for something that doesn't happen very often: He asked all the Ninth Circuit judges to reconsider the decision together. They agreed! It would be too crowded for all 48 judges in the circuit to be in the courtroom, so they chose eleven judges to sit on the en banc panel and hear the case again. Everyone filed more briefs, and there was a new oral argument. This time, Savana had six judges on her side. She won!

But the school wasn't giving up. It still believed the first two courts had interpreted the law correctly. Unless they kept fighting, the Ninth Circuit decision would become a **precedent**: In a future case with very similar facts as this one, judges in all Ninth Circuit states would have to decide in the student's favor. The Court of Appeals was not going to hear this case again, so the school only had one shot: the Supreme Court.

But there was a problem. Unlike the Court of Appeals, the Supreme Court gets to choose which cases to take—and most get rejected. The Supreme Court only listens to cases with very important issues. If the Supreme Court rejected this case, the Court of Appeals decision would be final.

The school filed a **petition** asking the Supreme Court to take the case. It worked! The lawyers filed more briefs. Groups who cared about the case filed **friend of the court briefs** in support of Savana or the school. There was one final oral argument where each lawyer spoke in front of all nine Supreme Court justices, who fired tough questions about how the law applied in the case.

Afterward, weeks passed. Finally, the Court issued a written opinion. Although the Court found that the school had a good reason to believe a search should be done, the Court said that a strip search went too far. Savana won! Because she decided to take her case "up" as far as it would go, this Supreme Court decision is now precedent for everyone in the country.
Appellate Courts: Let’s Take it Up

A. Compare! Decide whether each description fits the Court of Appeals only, Supreme Court only, or both, and write the letter of the description in the correct part of the diagram. The first one is done for you.

A. There is no jury
B. Judges are called “justices”
C. Issues a written opinion
D. Must take all cases
E. Receives friend of the court briefs
F. Usually has three-judge panels
G. Has nine justices
H. Lawyers file briefs
I. There is an oral argument
J. Decisions are precedent only within the circuit
K. Gets to choose which cases to take
L. Judges ask the lawyers questions
M. Requires a majority vote of judges to win
N. Decisions are precedent for the whole country
BONUS: Always sits en banc (use the letter X)

B. Draw! Use what you learned about each court to draw the inside of the courtrooms.
Appellate Courts: Let's Take it Up

C. Appellate Court Crossword. Use what you have learned about the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court to complete this crossword activity. Go back to the reading if you get stuck!

**Down**
1. Briefs filed by groups that care about how a case turns out
3. Written document a lawyer files with the court to explain his or her arguments
4. Appellate court judges ask these during oral argument
7. The Fourth Amendment protects against this kind of search
10. What happens to most cases appealed to the Supreme Court
11. Written document asking the Supreme Court to take a case; doubles as a brief
13. Lawyers take turns making arguments to the judges and answering the judges' questions
14. A group of several judges who hear a case together
15. Written document issued by the court explaining the reasoning behind its decision

**Across**
2. The person who represents someone in court
5. All the judges from an appeals circuit (or a large group of them) hearing a case again to reconsider the decision
6. The highest court you can appeal to
8. A separate opinion written by a judge who does not agree with the majority of the judges
9. The Supreme Court only hears cases with this kind of issue
12. A decision made by an appellate court that acts as a law to cases with very similar facts
15. The court where a case begins
17. A Court of Appeals often has this many judges in the courtroom

Worksheet p.2
Appellate Courts: Let's Take it Up

D. Why Is It That Way? Explain each fact by correctly matching it with a reason. Write the number of the reason in the correct box of the magic square below.

**FACTS**

A. The Court of Appeals does not hold a trial all over again...

B. The Court of Appeals must accept every case...

C. Decisions from the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court are precedent for new cases...

D. Cases in the appellate courts are heard by more than one judge...

E. Oral argument is an important part of an appeal...

F. The Supreme Court does not have to take every case that comes along...

G. Cases decided by the Supreme Court are particularly important...

H. If someone loses or wins a Supreme Court case, another person with a similar case will not automatically lose or win...

I. People do not get to testify at the Supreme Court...

**REASONS**

1. because its decisions affect the whole country by setting precedent for similar cases in the lower courts.

2. because precedent cases help people know what to expect. Otherwise, judges could make wildly different decisions in very similar cases.

3. because it allows the appeals court judges or Supreme Court justices to ask specific questions about the case.

4. because people have an automatic right of appeal after a decision is made in trial court. This helps ensure that the law is applied correctly.

5. because appellate court decisions are precedent for many people, so it's important to have more than one judge think about the decisions.

6. because testifying is a way of telling what happened, and the Supreme Court does not decide what happened. It only decides whether the Court of Appeals judges made the right decision.

7. because there are too many petitions for one court to hear.

8. because the other person's case might have special facts that make it different from the Supreme Court case.

9. because trials are for finding out what really happened, and the Court of Appeals decides whether the lower court judge correctly applied the law.

How to Use the Magic Square:

Match statements and reasons above. Write the numbers of the correct answers in the boxes next to the letters. To check your answers, add the rows across and down. All rows and columns will add up to the same number.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>A</th>
<th>B</th>
<th>C</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>D</td>
<td>E</td>
<td>F</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>I</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Worksheet p.3
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>1) <strong>FACT</strong></th>
<th>2) <strong>FACT</strong></th>
<th>3) <strong>FACT</strong></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The Court of Appeals does not hold a trial all over again...</td>
<td>The Court of Appeals must accept every case...</td>
<td>Decisions from the Court of Appeals and the Supreme Court are precedent for new cases...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
<td>5) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
<td>6) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases in the appellate courts are heard by more than one judge...</td>
<td>Oral argument is an important part of an appeal...</td>
<td>The Supreme Court does not have to take every case that comes along...</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
<td>8) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
<td>9) <strong>FACT</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cases decided by the Supreme Court are particularly important...</td>
<td>If someone loses or wins a Supreme Court case, another person with a similar case will not automatically lose or win...</td>
<td>People do not get to testify at the Supreme Court...</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**REASON**

...because its decisions affect the whole country by setting precedent for similar cases in the lower courts.

...because testifying is a way of telling what happened, and the Supreme Court does not decide what happened. It decides whether the Court of Appeals judges made the right decision.

...because precedent cases help people know what to expect. Otherwise, judges could make wildly different decisions in very similar cases.

**REASON**

...because it allows the appeals court judges or Supreme Court justices to ask specific questions about the case.

...because Trials are for finding out what really happened, and the Court of Appeals only decides whether the lower court judge correctly applied the law.

...because appellate court decisions are precedent for many people, so it’s important to have more than one judge think about the decisions.

**REASON**

...because there are too many petitions for one court to hear.

...because the other person’s case might have special facts that make it different from the Supreme Court case.

...because people have an automatic right of appeal after a trial court’s decision. This helps ensure that the law is applied correctly.